UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,796	03/10/2004	Steven Aoyama	B03-25	4509
40990 ACUSHNET C	7590 04/01/2008 COMPANY	3	EXAMINER	
333 BRIDGE S	TREET		TRIMIEW, RAEANN	
P. O. BOX 965 FAIRHAVEN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/797,	796	AOYAMA ET AL.	AOYAMA ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Raeann		3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply and	THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE COMMUNICATION IN THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMM	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>14 January 20</i>	08					
-		∩ This action is						
3)	Since this application is in condition for			prosecution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>17,19,21-26 and 28</u> is/are per	nding in the applic	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) 28 is/are allowed.							
′=	 ✓ Claim(s) 17,19,21-26 and 28 is/are rejected. 							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		o) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
, _	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	* *	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solheim (4,653,758). Solheim discloses a golf ball comprising a corrugated parting line, which does not intersect or interfere with the dimples edges (fig 6). Claim 18, the dimples create a seamless appearance by appearing on either side of the corrugated parting line.

Claims 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanchez (5,249,804). Claim 17, Sanchez discloses a golf ball comprising a corrugated parting line, which does not intersect or interfere with the dimples edges (fig 2). Claim 18, the dimples create a seamless appearance by appearing on either side of the corrugated parting line. Claim 24, the dimples create an icosahedron dimple pattern.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solheim and Sanchez (each). Claim 19, Solheim and Sanchez discloses the parting line is offset from the dimples at the equator as shown in the figures but does not disclose a specific number. However, applicant's range of at least 0.001 inch appears to be satisfied as can be seen in the figures. Claims 21-23, as understood by the examiner, the parting line is a continuous waveform around the equator. The claims also appear to define a method of making the product that does not affect the final product. One of ordinary skill in the art would increase the range to prevent the parting line from crossing the dimple perimeter.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solheim in view of Sajima (2002/0019274). The primary references do not disclose an octahedral or cube-octahedral dimple pattern. Sajima teaches a golf ball comprising octahedral or cube-octahedral dimple patterns. One of ordinary skill in the art would modify the dimple pattern for enhanced flight performance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are not fully understood. Applicant argues spacing among the dimples in the prior art while the instant claims do not include limitations regarding spacing. As previously mentioned, the prior art clearly disclosed applicant's claimed invention. Applicant argues the prior art does not disclose the dimples on one side of the parting line interdigitate with the dimple on the opposite side of the parting line.

However, both references clearly show this feature in the figures. The dimples along the equator are separated by the parting line or interdigitate. Compare applicant's figures 7 and 8 with the prior art figures. There is no difference between the interdigitating limitations as shown in the figures.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 28 is allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Trimiew whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,796 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Raeann Trimiew/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

March 27, 2008